

**INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL PEACE LEADERS**



**RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Assignment 2**

**SUBMITTED BY:**

**AREEBAQAZI**

**Title : The Korean War: A Frozen Conflict with Enduring Global  
Consequences.**

## **ABSTRACT:**

Despite being one of the most significant events of the 20th century, the **Korean War (1950–1953)** is still not given enough attention in popular historical discourse. Often called the "Forgotten War," this fight represented a profound ideological split between capitalism and communism and was the first military conflict of the Cold War period. Following North Korea's invasion of South Korea over the **38th Parallel**, the conflict quickly turned into a global crisis involving the US, China, the USSR, and the UN. The Korean Peninsula is still officially at war because no formal peace treaty was ever signed, even though a truce was eventually agreed upon in 1953.

This study investigates the causes, development, and long-term effects of the Korean War on a worldwide scale. The book focuses especially on how the conflict established North Korea's hostile nuclear program, solidified the geopolitical division between North and South Korea, and resulted in ongoing U.S. military engagement in East Asia. The conflict had a major impact on Cold War alliance formation, military strategy, and diplomacy as well. Notably, it strengthened NATO and increased American security obligations in Asia.

This study explores the complexity of the Korean War—not only as a regional conflict but also as a crucial Cold War event with effects that continue well into the twenty-first century—using academic literature, primary sources, and declassified archive documents. In addition to evaluating the conflict's political, ideological, and humanitarian aspects, it examines the participation and tactics of major international actors **such as the US, the UK, China, the USSR, and the UN**. In addition, this research considers how the Korean War influenced current global security concerns, especially those pertaining to nuclear proliferation and the ongoing Korean Peninsula conflict.

In the end, the research makes the case that the Korean War had a significant role in forming the current global order rather than being only a historical footnote. Through an examination of the war's lasting effects, particularly with regard to militarisation, alliances, and diplomatic divides, this study demonstrates how the legacy of a conflict that took place more than 70 years ago still shapes contemporary global political dynamics.

## **INTRODUCTION:**

A significant event in the history of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, the Korean War (1950–1953) altered the political climate of the world and had long-lasting effects on the international order. The Korean War, which broke out only five years after World War II, was more than just a civil war between the Republic of Korea (South Korea) and the newly formed Democratic People's Republic of Korea (North Korea). Instead, it swiftly developed into a multifaceted, international conflict that attracted powerful nations from around the world and brought attention to the escalating ideological conflict between capitalism and communism that characterised the Cold War. The Korean Peninsula has always been a key location in East

Asia, sandwiched between China, Japan, and Russia, three strong neighbours. Korean politics, economy, and society were profoundly impacted by **Japan's colonial rule (1910–1945)**, which lasted for more than thirty years. When Japan lost World War II, Korea was freed, but it was split into two areas of occupation right away: the US controlled the south, while the Soviet Union seized the north. Originally intended to be temporary, this separation at the 38<sup>th</sup> Parallel quickly solidified into a political and ideological line, with the South establishing a capitalist government supported by the United States under Syngman Rhee and the North evolving into a communist state akin to that of the Soviet Union under Kim Il-sung.

The conflict was sparked by unresolved Korean ambitions for independence and unity as well as the Cold War rivalry between the US and USSR. The Korean people did not attain full national self-determination even after Japanese colonial authority ended. Between 1945 and 1950, border skirmishes, political purges, and conflicting claims to national legitimacy were all part of the fast-increasing tensions between North and South Korea. With Soviet support, North Korea unexpectedly invaded the South on June 25, 1950, breaching the 38<sup>th</sup> parallel. The Korean War officially began at this point. The response from throughout the world was quick. The United States was the main leader of the UN, which denounced the invasion and approved military action to support South Korea. In the end, twenty-two countries would use the UN to send troops or medical aid. The battle dramatically escalated after China joined the war in late 1950 to help North Korea because of concern about an American assault towards its border. Despite not sending soldiers directly, the Soviet Union gave the North military hardware and strategic direction. Additionally, Britain and other Commonwealth countries were crucial, particularly in pivotal conflicts like the Battle of the Imjin River.

The war swiftly turned into a bloody and expensive struggle. Both sides' initial, swift advances came to a violent standstill at the original dividing line. The conflict turned into an attrition-based conflict for more than two years, marked by trench fighting, high civilian deaths, and intense bombing. Negotiation attempts were drawn out and frequently failed because of differences of opinion on topics such as the repatriation of prisoners. An armistice agreement was eventually struck on July 27, 1953, bringing a ceasefire but no official peace treaty. A highly fortified **Demilitarised Zone (DMZ)** was created close to the 38<sup>th</sup> Parallel, which still divides the two Koreas today, and the Korean Peninsula remained separated. The effects of the Korean War were profound and long-lasting. Politically, it formalised the bipolar world order of the Cold War and strengthened Korea's split into two adversarial governments. From a military standpoint, it resulted in the permanent stationing of American soldiers in South Korea, a practice that remains a pillar of American East Asian strategy to this day. The conflict demonstrated the difficulties of imposing peace, but it also strengthened the use of international alliances and multilateral action under the UN framework. It served as a test case for the principle of "**containment**", according to which the United States sought to stop communism from spreading over the world.

Most significantly, the war set the stage for upcoming wars and tensions, especially North Korea's militarisation and nuclear weapons development. After the war, North Korea was left feeling terribly traumatised, alone, and antagonistic towards the West. It would justify increased militarism and dictatorship during the ensuing decades by using the memories of foreign invasion and devastation. As a result, the Korean War is not only a historical event; rather, it continues to influence international security issues in the twenty-first century, particularly as North Korea develops its nuclear and missile programmes. The Second World War and the Vietnam War have eclipsed the Korean War, which is sometimes referred to as a "forgotten war" in scholarly and political discourse. However, its influence has not been lost in Korea or throughout Asia. Millions of people died in the conflict, which also left massive damage in its wake and irrevocably changed East Asia's geopolitical structure. Scholars have re-examined the significance of the Korean Peninsula in recent years as a result of North Korea's nuclear threats, South Korea's expanding cultural impact worldwide, and continuous diplomatic problems.

In-depth analysis of the Korean War is the goal of this essay, both as a historical occurrence and as a pivotal moment that still influences the contemporary world. The research will investigate the reasons, actions, and outcomes of the Korean War by means of an extensive literature review, primary and secondary source analysis, and the utilisation of historical and current data. There will be special focus on the participation of foreign powers, how the conflict affected civilian populations, how it affected Cold War conflict policy, and how it affected regional and global security in the long run.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW:**

Numerous academic disciplines, including political history, international politics, Cold War studies, military strategy, and memory studies, have studied the Korean War in great detail. In light of recently discovered archival records and changing international objectives, academics have re-examined the war's causes, conduct, and enduring relevance over time. This study of the literature examines the most significant scholarly works on the Korean War and evaluates how these investigations further our knowledge of its worldwide ramifications.

Many academics contend that understanding the Korean War requires placing it in the larger framework of power conflicts and the escalating Cold War that followed World War II. **Bruce Cumings (2010)** claims that the 1945 split of Korea at the 38<sup>th</sup> Parallel was a hasty and capricious decision taken by American authorities without consulting the Korean people, setting the stage for a subsequent conflict. In her analysis of American national security choices during the early Cold War, **Melvyn Leffler (1992)** echoes Cumings' emphasis that the split of Korea was a component of a larger U.S. strategy to restrain communism. He demonstrates how American decision-makers viewed Korea as a test case to determine the viability of a worldwide anti-communist campaign.

A more nuanced perspective is offered by some academics, such as **William Stueck (1995)**, who contend that regional politics and strategic geography were just as important as ideology. The internationalisation of the conflict is highlighted by Stueck's research, which

demonstrates how it engaged not just the US and the USSR but also China and the UN. His study demonstrates how the Korean War evolved into a Cold War theatre of operations and a diplomatic dilemma that affected other conflicts, such as Vietnam.

The way the war was fought and the participation of superpowers under the auspices of the UN have been a major issue of scholarly interest. A thorough operational history of the fight is given by **Allan R. Millett (2007)**, who details the early North Korean victory, the UN counteroffensive, and China's shocking participation in late 1950. His writing highlights the war's huge death toll, logistical difficulties, and tactical advancements, including air superiority, combined operations, and winter fighting.

**Grace Huxford (2018)** has focused on Britain's involvement in the war, analysing how it mirrored the country's partnership with the United States and its post-war foreign policy objectives. Huxford focuses on how British soldiers, such as the Gloucestershire Regiment, endured intense fighting in engagements like Imjin River and how these events influenced British post war memory.

Additionally, the Chinese engagement in the conflict and its wider ramifications for Sino-American ties are evaluated by **Steven Hugh Lee (2001)**. He illustrates how China's intervention further solidified Cold War boundaries by transforming the Korean War into a direct conflict between two superpowers. Despite being unanticipated by American commanders, Chinese military measures effectively drove UN forces back to the 38<sup>th</sup> Parallel and established a military standoff that persisted until the ceasefire.

The treatment of prisoners of war (POWs) during the Korean War is a topic that is frequently disregarded. S.P. **MacKenzie (2012)** asserts that the Korean War established precedents for the treatment of prisoners, particularly with regard to forced repatriation and international humanitarian law. MacKenzie describes how the POW problem dragged on peace talks and sparked ethical debates between political ideology and international commitments.

Concerns regarding psychological warfare were raised by the questioning, brainwashing, and even defection of certain prisoners of war. In her ground breaking book, **Monica Kim (2019)** examines this dynamic by examining how prisoners of war came to play a pivotal role in the ideological conflict between capitalism and communism. Her research provides insights into the political and emotional challenges encountered by captive troops and is based on Chinese, South Korean, and American archives.

In recent decades, memory studies of the Korean War have become increasingly important, particularly for understanding how various countries remember or forget the event. According to **Hajimu Masuda's (2015)** *Cold War Crucible*, the Korean War contributed to the "domestication" of Cold War ideology in both the East and the West. Masuda demonstrates how different nations' interpretations of the conflict were influenced by national propaganda, the media, and public opinion.

Similar to this, **Andrew Salmon (2011)** provides a historical and journalistic assessment of the experiences of Australian and British soldiers during the Korean War and how

national narratives frequently overlooked or disregarded their contributions. His writings highlight how, in spite of its importance, the Korean War did not enjoy the same level of historical and cultural renown as the two great wars.

Particularly with regard to nuclear policy and East Asian security, the Korean War had a lasting impact on world geopolitics. According to **Robert Barnes (2014)**, the conflict strengthened the U.S. alliance structure in the Pacific and reaffirmed American primacy in Asia. Additionally, his work exposes how the United States influenced postwar diplomacy in the area and criticises the United Nations' employment as a weapon for American foreign policy.

The war's effects are most evident in North Korea's current nuclear development. According to academics like **Siegfried Hecker and Robert Carlin (2017)**, the North Korean leadership's choice to pursue a nuclear deterrent was significantly impacted by their assessment of American aggressiveness during the Korean War. North Korea was persuaded that nuclear weapons were essential to the survival of the government due to the experience of wartime devastation and the continued American military presence in the South.

Even though it was less direct than that of China or the US, the Soviet Union's role in the Korean War was essential to comprehending the beginnings and development of the conflict. Using declassified Soviet records, **Kathryn Weathersby (1993)** showed that Stalin not only authorised Kim Il-sung's invasion plan of South Korea but actually oversaw military preparations and provided munitions. Her research contradicts earlier accounts that downplayed Moscow's contribution. Stalin saw the Korean War as a proxy war that might increase communist power without leading to an open clash between the US and the USSR. Throughout the conflict, Soviet actions were influenced by this strategic prudence.

The employment of psychological warfare and mass media underwent a sea change during the Korean War. **Susan Carruthers (2016)** examines the ways in which both sides employed propaganda to influence public opinion and soldier and civilian morale. Newsreels, radio shows, and pamphlets were utilised in the US to demonise communism and defend intervention. China and North Korea, meanwhile, used media restriction, political lectures, and re-education initiatives to manage information. Carruthers demonstrates how many psychological tactics that were later used in Vietnam and Iraq were first used during the Korean War.

The Korean War's humanitarian aspect is frequently overlooked. **Paul E. Stepansky (2018)** emphasises the function of field hospitals, evacuation plans, and combat medicine during the Korean War. **Mobile Army Surgical Hospitals (MASH)** were developed by the U.S. military medical system and became a cultural symbol. The survival rates of injured soldiers were significantly increased by these units. In addition, the conflict caused widespread amputations, disease outbreaks, and chronic trauma for people in both Koreas, resulting in a medical catastrophe.

**Ji-Yeon Yuh (2002)** examines how the conflict affected Korean citizens, especially women. She details how women were exploited, how the conflict upended gender norms, and how many were compelled to serve as military medics, sex workers, or refugees. Yuh also talks about how the history of both North and South Korea has historically suppressed the voices of Korean women. Her work contributes to feminist understandings of contemporary war by recovering their voices via oral histories.

Most of South Korea's infrastructure was destroyed during the Korean War, which also severely damaged the country's economy. Despite this devastation, South Korea transformed from a war-torn nation to a significant global economy in the decades after the armistice, according to **Jongryn Mo and Barry Weingast (2013)**. The authors attribute this change to President Park Chung-hee's developmental leadership, institutional changes, and U.S. foreign aid. According to them, the war served as a "reset", fostering the development of state-led industry.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION:**

One of the most important early conflicts of the Cold War era was the Korean War. International relations are still influenced by their significant military, political, ideological, and humanitarian effects. The main conclusions of the war are covered in this part, backed up by statistics, factual information, and expert analysis. It looks at military outcomes, deaths, foreign engagement, changes in strategy, the nuclear issue, and the war's wider geopolitical ramifications.

### **➤ Military Outcomes and Deaths**

Over the course of three years, the Korean War saw fierce fighting that included swift offensives, counteroffensives, and ultimately a protracted standoff. Fundamentally, it was a civil war that spread over the world, with both sides receiving help from superpowers.

#### **The Human Cost**

Devastating numbers of people died in the conflict. The U.S. Department of Defence (2013) and estimations put together by academics like **Allan Millett (2007) and Bruce Cumings (2010)** state:

Approximately **3 million** individuals lost their lives in the conflict. Between **1.5 and 2 million** civilians are thought to have died in North and South Korea. More than **217,000 South Korean** military deaths.**400,000+ North Korean** military deaths. Between **400,000 and 600,000 Chinese** military deaths are expected. **36,574 U.S.** military Deaths .Over **3,000 additional UN soldiers**, including those from the UK, Turkey, Canada, and Australia

### **➤ Battlefield Dynamics and Military Strategies**

There were many distinct phases to the war:

**North Korean** armies invaded the South and took Seoul between June and September of 1950. UN and South Korean forces were forced to retreat to the Pusan perimeter. **General MacArthur's** audacious Inchon Landing in September and October of 1950 turned the

tide. UN soldiers moved into North Korea and retook Seoul. **China** joined the conflict and launched a fierce counteroffensive between October and December 1950. **1951–1953:** Artillery duels, air strikes, and trench fighting characterised the standoff along the 38<sup>th</sup> parallel. One important aspect was air superiority. Over 635,000 tonnes of bombs and 32,000 tonnes of napalm were dropped on North Korea as part of the United States' major bombing assaults (Werrell, 1996). Whole communities were destroyed.

#### ➤ **Global Engagement :**

The first war fought under the United Nations' auspices was the Korean War. South Korea received assistance from 22 different countries.

Type of Contribution by Country and Number of Troops

**USA Approximately 1.8 million combat troops, air and naval support. Britain Approximately 56,000 Turkish ground soldiers, naval, and air support Around 15,000 Canadian ground combat soldiers About 26,000 ground soldiers and medical personnel. Australia Air and ground assistance: ~17,000 Thailand, Ethiopia, Greece, Colombia, etc. Medical and ground units vary.**

Under General Peng Dehuai, more than a million troops—officially referred to as “volunteers”—were dispatched by the People's Republic of China. Although it never recognised its involvement in the war, the Soviet Union sent fighter pilots, tanks, and advisors.

#### ➤ **Findings on Politics and Ideology**

##### ➤ Armistice and Division

In the absence of a written peace treaty, the Korean Peninsula remained split at the 38<sup>th</sup> parallel. With the signing of the Korean Armistice Agreement on July 27, 1953, the Demilitarised Zone (DMZ), a strongly fortified buffer zone of 250 km, was established.

##### ➤ Cold War bloc solidification

The Korean War cemented the world's ideological split into two factions: The US, NATO, Japan, and South Korea are the **capitalist bloc**. Chinese, North Korean, and Soviet **communists**. Earlier Cold War confrontations in Vietnam, Afghanistan, and Africa were modelled after this first real proxy war.

##### ➤ The expansion of NATO

The conflict led to European rearmament and provided justification for NATO's (the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation) expansion. U.S. soldiers continued to be continuously stationed across Western Europe when West Germany rearmed in 1955.

#### ➤ **The Militarisation and Isolation of North Korea**

North Korea suffered greatly psychologically as a result of the conflict. A large portion of its infrastructure had been destroyed by 1953. Siegfried Hecker (2017) claims that this



encounter made the dictatorship more cynical about the outside world. North Korea started to establish an independent, Juche-based regime. This evolved into a military-first strategy over many years, which led to the creation of a nuclear weapons program (Songun). One of the long-term effects of the militarisation and unresolved end of the Korean War was North Korea's first nuclear test in 2006.

### ➤ **The American Military's Asian Presence**

The U.S. military's ongoing presence in South Korea and East Asia was another significant outcome. By 2024: There are 28,500 American soldiers in South Korea (U.S. Forces Korea). The United States has significant military installations in Okinawa, Guam, and Japan. This presence has caused diplomatic difficulties with China and North Korea in addition to acting as a deterrence.

### ➤ **Humanitarian Crisis and Prisoner of War Concerns**

One of the biggest humanitarian disasters in postwar history was brought on by the war: Ten million Koreans were forced to relocate. There were more than 100,000 orphans. People were constantly displaced as cities like Seoul changed control four times. Another contentious issue was how POWs were treated. Armistice negotiations were extended by disagreements regarding forced repatriation, putting the Geneva Convention to the test. UN soldiers captured more than 132,000 prisoners of war. Approximately 83,000 North Korean and Chinese prisoners of war refused to return home. Both sides employed ideological and psychological "re-education". These encounters shaped human rights norms and international POW legislation, which in turn shaped future military tactics.

### ➤ **Legacy and Tensions in the Present**

Politically, the Korean War is still ongoing. The war's legacy is still evident in a number of aspects today: South Korea: A thriving democracy with a strong economy that actively engages in global diplomacy. North Korea: A remote, autocratic nation with an expanding nuclear weapons stockpile. With sporadic clashes and war talk, the DMZ is still a hot spot.

Recent incidents, such as the 2017 nuclear testing, the Trump-Kim summits in 2018, and the ongoing military exercises between the United States and South Korea, highlight the war's unresolved issues.

## **CONCLUSION:**

In addition to being a localised struggle, the Korean War (1950–1953) was a worldwide crisis that had a significant impact on the political, military, and ideological climate of the Cold War and beyond. An international conflict involving the US, the USSR, China, and the UN quickly developed from what started as a civil war between North and South Korea. The Korean War had lasting effects that continue to influence geopolitics today, despite being frequently eclipsed by the Second World War and the Vietnam War. The 1953 Armistice Agreement, which established the Korean Peninsula's permanent split, was one of the war's most significant effects. The peninsula is still split at the 38<sup>th</sup> parallel since neither side was able to win the war despite fierce fighting and high human casualties. The

Korean border is now among the most militarised regions in the world as a result of the establishment of the Demilitarised Zone (DMZ). Korea became a real and symbolic front line of the Cold War as a result of this partition, which strengthened the ideological and political divide between capitalism and communism

International security arrangements and alliances were also profoundly impacted by the conflict. The conflict served as justification for the United States to bolster NATO in Europe and maintain a persistent military presence in East Asia. A change in the balance of power in the world was evident as nations like Britain, Australia, and Turkey became closer to the strategic goals of the United States. After overcoming its civil war, China demonstrated its military might and rose to prominence in Cold War politics. Despite being less actively involved, the Soviet Union contributed material backing and strategic influence, which further internationalised the struggle. There was a terrible humanitarian cost to the conflict. Millions of people died, were injured, or had to relocate. The battle mostly affected civilians, resulting in cities being destroyed and families being split apart for generations. The way that POWs were treated, particularly the forced indoctrination and reeducation techniques, raised awareness of the rights of detainees and the rules of war. The foundation for subsequent debates on international humanitarian law and war ethics was established by these elements.

The rise of North Korea's nuclear-armed and militarised government is another significant effect of the Korean War. North Korean strategic thought was profoundly impacted by the horror of near-annihilation during the war. The lessons the dictatorship learnt from the conflict are directly responsible for its emphasis on military self-reliance (Juche and Songun philosophies) and its ultimate pursuit of nuclear weapons. Frequently using its nuclear weapons as leverage in international diplomacy, North Korea continues to be a provocative and unpredictable participant on the world arena.

On the other hand, with the help of the United States and its post-war rebuilding initiatives, South Korea became a major economic and democratic force in the world. South Korea's foreign policy, which prioritises solid relationships, economic cooperation, and regional stability, was influenced by the war's aftermath. The two Koreas' glaringly different levels of development and government now act as a constant reminder of the war's lasting effects. The Korean War also brought to light the potential and constraints of international organisations. For the first time, collective military action against aggression was approved by the UN. In the lack of Soviet and Chinese collaboration at the time, the intervention revealed the difficulties of multilateral decision-making and the political manipulation of international institutions, even though it prevented a total North Korean takeover.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Based on the historical analysis and continuing relevance of the Korean War, several recommendations can be proposed for scholars, policymakers, and international organisations:

### **Revisit Cold War-era Conflicts with Contemporary Lenses**

Scholars should continue examining the Korean War in light of new archival materials and changing global conditions. A deeper understanding of the war's causes and outcomes can shed light on other proxy conflicts and modern-day crises.

### **Promote Peace and Reconciliation on the Korean Peninsula**

While complete unification may not be feasible in the near term, sustained diplomatic engagement between North and South Korea, along with multilateral talks involving the U.S., China, and Russia, can reduce tensions. Cultural exchange and humanitarian cooperation should be strengthened.

### **Strengthen Your Nuclear Non-Proliferation Plans**

The nuclear danger posed by North Korea stems from unsolved historical grievances. The nuclear threat in Northeast Asia may be managed or de-escalated by addressing these challenges using a combination of deterrence, diplomacy, and incentives.

### **Strengthen the Teaching and Remembering of History**

More emphasis should be placed on the Korean War in international education courses. Recognising its history emphasises the value of diplomacy and conflict avoidance while also helping to understand present international problems.

### **Encourage public memorials for veterans of the Korean War.**

Through public history initiatives, commemoration events, and education, warring nations should continue to recognise the achievements of their soldiers. These initiatives enhance knowledge of international collaboration and sacrifice and add to communal memory.

### **Make International Crisis Management Stronger**

The Korean War demonstrated how easily regional disagreements might turn into international confrontations. In order to create more effective solutions to contemporary crises, such as wars in the Middle East, Eastern Europe, and Africa, the international community must take note of the UN's engagement in Korea, both its accomplishments and its mistakes.

## **REFERENCES:**

U.S. Department of State. (n.d.). The Korean War, 1950–1953. Office of the Historian. <https://history.state.gov/milestones/1945-1952/korean-war-2>

Wilson Center. (1993). To Attack or Not to Attack? Stalin, Kim Il Sung, and the Prelude to War (Working Paper No. 1). Cold War International History Project. <https://www.wilsoncenter.org/publication/working-paper-1-attack-or-not-to-attack-stalin-kim-il-sung-and-the-prelude-to-war>

Truman Library. (n.d.). The Korean War: Presidential Inquiries. Harry S. Truman Presidential Library & Museum. <https://www.trumanlibrary.gov/education/presidential-inquiries/korean-war>

National Archives. (n.d.). Korean War Records and Resources. U.S. National Archives and Records Administration. <https://www.archives.gov/research/military/korean-war>

Avalon Project. (1950). Mutual Defense Treaty Between the United States and the Republic of Korea. Yale Law School. [https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th\\_century/kor001.asp](https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/kor001.asp)

Library of Congress. (n.d.). Korean War Research Guide. <https://guides.loc.gov/korean-war>

Korean War Legacy Foundation. (n.d.). Korean War Legacy Project. <https://koreanwarlegacy.org/>

The National WWII Museum. (n.d.). The Korean War: Origins and Outcomes. <https://www.nationalww2museum.org/war/articles/korean-war-origins-and-outcomes>

U.S. Army. (n.d.). Korean War Commemoration. <https://www.army.mil/koreanwar/>

MIT OpenCourseWare. (2015). Korean War Literature and Culture Readings. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. [https://ocw.mit.edu/resources/res-21g-01-korean-literature-and-culture-fall-2015/readings/MITRES\\_21G\\_01F15\\_KoreanWar.pdf](https://ocw.mit.edu/resources/res-21g-01-korean-literature-and-culture-fall-2015/readings/MITRES_21G_01F15_KoreanWar.pdf)

Miller Center. (n.d.). Korean War – Truman’s Foreign Policy. University of Virginia. <https://millercenter.org/president/truman/foreign-affairs/korean-war>

Wilson Center Digital Archive. (n.d.). Korean War Document Collection. <https://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/collection/114/korean-war>

National Park Service. (n.d.). The Korean War (1950–1953). U.S. Department of the Interior. <https://www.nps.gov/articles/000/the-korean-war-1950-1953.htm>